

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/515,675 Applicant(s)

Keiji Kashima

Interview Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit		
	Tarifur R. Chowdhury	2871	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Tarifur R. Chowdhury	_ (3)		
(2) Mr. Eric D. Morehouse	_ (4)		
Date of Interview	_		
Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	No. If yes, brief description:		
Agreement was reached. was not reached.			
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 6			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Applied art			
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to EXAMINER INDICATED THAT FURTHER SEARCH IS NEE	if an agreement was reached, or DED TO DEFINE PATENTABILITY	any other comme Y OF THE PRESEN	ents: IT INVENTION.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	endments, if available, which the copy of the amendents which we	examiner agreed build render the cla	would render iims allowable
1. 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of	the interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INC Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action I FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF ITERVIEW DATE TO STATEMENT OF ITERVIE	LUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE has already been filed, APPLICAN	INTERVIEW. (Se IT IS GIVEN ONE	e MPEP
 Since the Examiner's interview summary above each of the objections, rejections and requirement claims are now allowable, this completed form is Office action. Applicant is not relieved from pro- is also checked. 	nts that may be present in the lass s considered to fulfill the respons	st Office action, a e requirements of	nd since the the last
thandhung			
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an	attachment to a signed Office action.		